A COMBINED CORNEAL EPITHELIAL DEBRIDEMENT TECHNIQUE

The maneuver uses both chemical and mechanical action to remove the epithelium.
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Among the options for excimer laser refractive surgery, surface ablation is less invasive than LASIK and seems to structurally weaken the cornea to a lesser degree. Therefore, over the past decade, a trend has emerged favoring surface ablation techniques.1,2 Several modifications of the conventional PRK procedure have been introduced in efforts to minimize the disadvantages of surface ablation, which can include extended epithelial healing and visual recovery times, pain, and corneal haze. In these alternative methods, the means by which the corneal epithelium is removed prior to laser photoablation are modified to reduce the trauma caused by epithelial debridement.

Initially, alternative surface ablation procedures involved mechanical debridement using different types of rotating brushes or scalpel blades. In 1999, Camellin first described the laser-assisted subepithelial keratomileusis (LASEK) technique. This procedure involves the use of a cone filled with a dilute alcohol solution placed over the cornea, resulting in creation of a complete flap of epithelium. In another procedure, transepithelial PRK (trans-PRK), the excimer laser is used to remove the epithelium. In yet a third alternative technique, epi-LASIK, an epithelial microkeratome is used to separate the corneal epithelium from the underlying anterior stroma.

There is controversy surrounding the advantages and disadvantages of each method. In this article and accompanying Eyetube video (bit.ly/calabuig0516), we report a technique in which the corneal epithelium is peeled off prior to excimer laser surface photoablation. This technique combines both chemical and blunt mechanical processes in order to minimize patient discomfort and potentially improve the safety of the procedure. Our technique requires only basic surgical instruments.3

COMBINED EPITHELIAL DEBRIDEMENT

Preoperatively, patients receive one drop of tetracaine 0.5% and preservative-free diclofenac 0.1%. Lashes and lids are cleaned with a swab of povidone-iodine 5% solution. A closed-loop lid speculum is placed, and another drop of tetracaine is instilled. An 8- or 9-mm circular cellulose Weck-Cel sponge soaked in 20% ethanol solution is positioned over the central corneal surface for 50 seconds (Figure 1). If some...
solution leaks toward the periphery, it is dried with another Weck-Cel spear, thus avoiding damage to the limbal stem cells and irritation of the patient’s conjunctiva by the alcohol solution.

The circular sponge is discarded, and the surface of the eye is flushed twice with chilled balanced saline solution in order to remove residual alcohol. Adhesions of corneal epithelium are loosened by applying pressure with another Weck-Cel sponge using circular movements over the central surface of the cornea. The central corneal epithelium is then easily lifted off in a circular epitheliorrhexis manner (Figures 2 through 4).

The edges of the debrided area can be slightly extended toward the corneal periphery with the Weck-Cel spear or a blunt spatula. If the surgeon prefers to replace the epithelium over the stromal surface after laser ablation, he or she can do so, as the whole epithelial flap can be lifted unspoiled. If not, the epithelial flap is discarded. For hyperopic and high astigmatic treatments, the larger 9-mm circular sponge is preferred; the 8-mm diameter sponge is used in most myopic treatments, well centered over the pupil area.

Excimer laser photoablation is then carried out using our standard nomogram (Figure 5). After laser ablation, 0.02% mitomycin C (MMC) is applied on the ablated stroma. The duration of MMC application is 12 seconds when the depth of central ablation is less than 65 µm and 20 seconds
if it is more than 65 µm. The eye is then thoroughly irrigated with 50 mL chilled balanced saline solution, and a silicone hydrogel contact lens (Acuvue Oasys; Johnson & Johnson Vision Care) is placed over the cornea until complete reepithelization has occurred (Figure 6). Moxifloxacin 0.5% and diclofenac 0.1% eye drops are instilled, and the patient is discharged.

**FOLLOW-UP CARE AND PRESCRIPTIONS**

Postoperatively, topical preservative-free dexamethasone 0.1% and moxifloxacin 0.5% are prescribed every 6 hours for the first week as well as topical preservative-free diclofenac 0.1% every 6 hours for 2 days. For the first 3 days, oral analgesia is prescribed with a combination of acetaminophen 1 g every 8 hours and ibuprofen 600 mg three times daily.

In week 2, the steroid drop is changed to fluorometholone 0.1%, which is tapered between the second and third month. Extensive use of artificial tears (0.15% sodium hyaluronate) is recommended during the first month and later as required by the patient’s symptoms.

Patients are monitored every 2 days postoperative until the epithelial defect has healed completely, and, at that time, the bandage contact lens is removed. Follow-up visits are scheduled for 1 week and 1, 3, and 6 months thereafter.

**POTENTIALLY TRAUMATIC SURGICAL INSTRUMENTS NOT REQUIRED**

Pain, slow vision recovery, myopic regression, and haze have been described as the adverse effects related to conventional PRK. Several safe and effective modifications of the initial PRK technique have been introduced in order to minimize these drawbacks. These include trans-PRK, deep epithelialization with diluted alcohol, epithelial mechanical scraping with scalpels or rotating brushes, and epi-LASIK.

However, controversy remains regarding the advantages and disadvantages of each method, particularly in regard to postoperative pain, recovery of visual acuity, subepithelial scar formation, the toxic effect of alcohol, and the synergistic effect of MMC use.

In contrast, our technique combines the initial chemical effect of a 20% ethanol solution, which loosens the corneal epithelium, with a nontraumatic mechanical effect produced by the circular movement of the cellulose sponge and subsequent epithelial peeling. This procedure unites the advantages of the two techniques (alcohol-assisted removal and mechanical scraping) and minimizes their adverse effects. The diluted alcohol separates the epithelium and corneal stroma, creating a smooth, regular surface; however, in contrast with the conventional alcohol-assisted technique, no pressure has to be applied to the ocular globe, and no spillage of the solution occurs. Therefore, patient discomfort and surgical trauma to the ocular surface are minimized. The mechanical effect in this technique is produced by a blunt sponge, and, thus, the use of sophisticated or potentially traumatic surgical instruments is unnecessary.

**CONCLUSION**

Since our description of this technique in 2011, most of the surgeons in our group have progressively abandoned their previous debridement methods and have changed to this technique. Those of us who use the combined corneal epithelial debridement technique described here have achieved uniform and easy corneal epithelial removal with minimal patient discomfort (see *In Our Experience*).

We have recently reported our safety and efficacy results for myopic PRK using this technique. In this report, our early refractive and anatomic results were consistent with those in previous reports by other authors using different epithelial debridement techniques for myopic PRK.
Our results with this technique show excellent efficacy, predictability, and safety in eyes with low myopia. We have also used this maneuver for epithelial debridement in other surgical procedures such as CXL and PTK for anterior corneal disorders.

We have seen no adverse effects or unexpected corneal reactions, and the minimization of intraoperative ocular surface damage and reduction in patient discomfort have allowed us to optimize our surgical performance with this refractive procedure.