

ARCHIVOS DE LA SOCIEDAD
ESPAÑOLA DE OFTALMOLOGÍAwww.elsevier.es/oftalmologia

Original article

Acute postoperative endophthalmitis after
phacoemulsification in a private ophthalmic
surgical groupA. Llovet-Rausell^{a,*}, F. Llovet-Osuna^{a,b,c}, J. Ortega-Usobiaga^d, J. Beltran-Sanz^e,
V. Druchkiv^e^a Unidad de Catarata y Cirugía Refractiva, Clínica Baviera, Valencia, Spain^b Unidad de Catarata y Cirugía Refractiva, Clínica Baviera, Madrid, Spain^c Área de Oftalmología, Facultad de Medicina Universidad Cardenal Herrera-CEU, Valencia, Spain^d Unidad de Catarata y Cirugía Refractiva, Clínica Baviera, Bilbao, Spain^e Departamento de Investigación y Desarrollo, Clínica Baviera, Valencia, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 27 April 2024

Accepted 12 July 2024

Available online xxx

Keywords:

Acute postoperative

endophthalmitis

Phacoemulsification

Intracameral antibiotic

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To analyze the incidence, causes, risk factors and treatment of acute postoperative endophthalmitis (POE) after phacoemulsification in a private ophthalmological group.

Design: Uncontrolled retrospective observational study of all cases of POE over 22 years.

Material and methods: 369,476 eyes were included after phacoemulsification in 41 surgical centers of the Clínica Baviera-AIER EYE Group from 2002 to 2023. POE cases were reviewed.

Results: The general group was divided into two: group A (2002–2007), with vancomycin in the intracameral irrigation flow (27,705 eyes); Group B (2008–2023), with intracameral cefuroxime (341,771 eyes). 31 cases of POE were found (incidence, 0.0084%); 5 in group A (0.018%) and 26 in group B (0.0076%) ($P = .314$), with a mean age of 67.3 years (14 men and 17 women). Cultures were positive in 14 cases in Groups A and B. Treatment was based on intravitreal antibiotics and vitrectomy. The mean time to symptom onset was 6.76 days and the mean time to resolution was 120.7 days. 12 eyes achieved corrected distance visual acuity $\geq 20/40$.

Conclusions: The incidence of POE was 0.0084% (0.0181% in group A and 0.0076% in group B). The probability of having POE in group A was 2.37 times greater than in group B (OR: 2.37; 95% CI: 0.71–6.2; $P = .079$). The only significant risk factor for POE was posterior capsular rupture.

© 2024 Sociedad Española de Oftalmología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: Allovet@clinicabaviera.com (A. Llovet-Rausell).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oftale.2024.07.012>

2173-5794/© 2024 Sociedad Española de Oftalmología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Endoftalmitis aguda tras facoemulsificación en un grupo oftalmológico privado

R E S U M E N

Palabras clave:

Endoftalmitis postoperatoria
aguda
Facoemulsificación
Antibiótico intracameral

Objetivo: Analizar incidencia, causas, factores de riesgo y tratamiento de la endoftalmitis aguda postoperatoria (EAP) tras facoemulsificación en un grupo oftalmológico privado.

Diseño: Estudio observacional retrospectivo no controlado de todos los casos de EAP durante 22 años.

Material y método: Se incluyeron 369.476 ojos tras facoemulsificación en 41 centros quirúrgicos de Clínica Baviera-AIER EYE Group de 2002 a 2023. Se revisaron los casos de EAP.

Resultados: El grupo general se dividió en dos: grupo A (2002 a 2007), con vancomicina en el flujo de irrigación intracameral (27.705 ojos); Grupo B (2008 a 2023), con cefuroxima intracameral (341.771 ojos). Se encontraron 31 casos de EAP (incidencia de 0,0084%); 5 en el grupo A (0,018%) y 26 en el grupo B (0,0076%) ($P = ,314$), con edad media de 67,3 años (14 varones y 17 mujeres). Los cultivos fueron positivos en 14 casos en los Grupos A y B. El tratamiento se basó antibióticos intravítreos y vitrectomía. El tiempo medio de aparición de los síntomas fue de 6,76 días y el de resolución fue de 120,7 días. 12 ojos alcanzaron una agudeza visual lejana corregida $\geq 20/40$.

Conclusiones: La incidencia de EAP fue de 0,0084% (0,0181% en el grupo A y 0,0076% en el B). La probabilidad de tener EAP en el grupo A fue 2,37 veces mayor que en el grupo B (OR: 2,37; IC 95%: 0,71–6,2; $P = ,079$). El único factor de riesgo significativo de EAP fue la rotura capsular posterior.

© 2024 Sociedad Española de Oftalmología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Se reservan todos los derechos, incluidos los de minería de texto y datos, entrenamiento de IA y tecnologías similares.

Introduction

Endophthalmitis is an ocular inflammation that threatens vision. For both patients and surgeons, one of the most feared complications of lens surgery is acute postoperative endophthalmitis (APE), with a reported incidence ranging from 0.01% up to 0.41%.^{1–4}

Cataract surgery and refractive lensectomy are among the most common and successful ocular surgical procedures worldwide, requiring effective prophylaxis vs APE.⁵

APE is often associated with severe morbidity. Visual outcomes after endophthalmitis are typically poor. Some eyes fail to achieve a best-corrected distance visual acuity (CDVA) greater than finger counting, and 50% do not recover a CDVA $> 20/40$. In some cases, anatomical disruption of the eye-ball may even occur.⁶

Progressive improvements in phacoemulsification techniques—specifically the use of increasingly smaller sutureless incisions, topical anesthesia, and injectable intraocular lenses (IOLs)—may contribute to reduced APE rates.^{2,3} Povidone-iodine (PVI) is a disinfectant and antiseptic agent used for preoperative preparation of ocular adnexa (eyelashes and eyelids) and conjunctiva before intraocular surgery. It has been shown to reduce ocular surface flora and the incidence of positive cultures causing APE without any adverse reactions.^{7,8} Additionally, there is evidence that the intracameral administration of antibiotics, such as cefuroxime or vancomycin, can reduce the risk of APE.^{9,10}

The primary endpoint of this study is to study the incidence of APE after the use of intracameral vancomycin or

cefuroxime in a 22-year retrospective study of eyes undergoing phacoemulsification lens surgery (cataract or refractive lensectomy) at a private center.

Secondary endpoint include: a) analyzing the influence of risk factors on APE after phacoemulsification with intracameral antibiotics; b) investigating the timing of symptom onset, duration of the condition, and anatomical and visual outcomes in patients diagnosed with postoperative endophthalmitis.

Methods

The presence of APE was retrospectively reviewed in the health records of 369,476 eyes that underwent phacoemulsification (cataract or refractive lensectomy) consecutively in 41 surgical centers of Clínica Baviera-AIER EYE Group from December 2nd, 2002, through December 30th, 2023. The study fully complied with the principles set forth in the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Medical-Legal Committee of Clínica Baviera. All patients received and signed an Informed Consent form.

Medical data were recorded from the centralized electronic health records system. Most data were automatically extracted from the Clínica Baviera database: automatic entry of patient charts for laterality, treated eye, and sex; automatic and manual (FL) verification of age, surgery day, anesthesia type, suture, incision type, incision size in millimeters, and intracameral antibiotic use. Data on diabetes, wound leakage, and posterior capsular rupture (PCR) were searched across the database, and an experienced ophthalmologist (FL) man-

Table 1 – Demographic data.

	Non-APE group	APE group	P
<i>Surgical procedures</i>	369,445	31	
<i>Laterality (eye)</i>			
Right	50.1%	55%	.03*
Left	49.9%	45%	
<i>Gender</i>			
Female	57.9%	55%	.86*
Male	42.1%	45%	
<i>Mean age (years)</i>	60.6	67.3	.017 [‡]
<i>Type of anesthesia</i>			
Peribulbar	2%	0%	1.000*
Sub-Tenon	0.4%	0%	
Topical	97.6%	100%	
<i>Incision suture</i>			
No	98.4%	100%	1.000*
Yes	1.7%	0%	
<i>Incision location</i>			
Clear cornea	94.8%	90.4%	.88*
Limbic	2.5%	6.4%	
Scleral	2.7%	3.2%	
<i>Incision size (mm)</i>	2.9	3.4	.002 [‡]
<i>Intracameral antibiotic</i>			
Vancomycin	7.5%	16%	.22*
Cefuroxime	92.5%	84%	
<i>Diabetes</i>	5%	3.2%	.04*
<i>Seidel test</i>	0.04%	0%	1.000
<i>Posterior capsule rupture</i>	0.66%	16%	<.001*

APE: acute postoperative endophthalmitis.
 * Chi-squared test.
 ‡ Independent t-test.

ually validated identified cases (based on clinical data, culture results, and differential diagnosis, particularly with TASS) (Table 1).

Phacoemulsification surgical procedures were performed by experienced surgeons using the same protocol, instruments, and devices in all cases. The preoperative protocol included topical antibiotics and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), ocular antisepsis and disinfection (10% PVI on periocular skin and 5% PVI on the cornea and conjunctiva), and isolation of the ocular area. In all cases in this series, intracameral antibiotics were used: vancomycin or cefuroxime. Cases where no intracameral antibiotic was used or where an antibiotic other than vancomycin or cefuroxime was employed were excluded from the study. The postoperative treatment protocol included topical antibiotics, steroids, and NSAIDs.

The overall group (369,476 eyes) was categorized into 2 groups based on the intracameral antibiotic used: group A (27,705 eyes): vancomycin administered via intracameral irrigation flow, and group B (341,771 eyes): cefuroxime injected into the anterior chamber at the end of the procedure. APE-diagnosed cases were further categorized into 2 subgroups: group A (5 eyes) and group B (26 eyes), using identical criteria. The diagnosis of APE was manually validated by an experienced ophthalmologist (FL).

The diagnosis of APE was based on symptomatology and clinical examination, demonstrating a disproportionate inflammatory reaction during the normal postoperative course within the first 6 weeks after surgery.¹¹ Suspected APE

prompted aqueous humor and/or vitreous humor sampling for microbiological smear analysis, culture, and antibiogram. Since 2013, APE has been managed based on the clinical practice guidelines of the European Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgeons (ESCRS) and the Spanish Vitreo-Retinal Society (SERV) for the prevention and treatment of endophthalmitis after cataract surgery.^{11,12}

The potential risk factors for APE were analyzed, including age, sex, laterality, incision type, suture, intracameral antibiotic use, diabetes, wound leakage, and PCR (Table 2). Patients diagnosed with endophthalmitis were re-examined to assess incidence, prophylaxis, causative pathogens, risk factors, and final anatomical and visual outcomes.

Statistical analysis

Data were extracted from the SQL database and processed and analyzed using R Core Team (2019). A bivariate analysis was performed to study the association of risk factors with APE occurrence. Differences in APE incidence with respect to categorical factors were tested using the chi-square test. Differences for continuous variables were tested using the independent t-test. P-values <.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 31 cases of APE were identified within the first 6 weeks after surgery out of 369,476 phacoemulsification pro-

Table 2 – Incidence of postoperative APE by year and ICA.

Year	No. of surgical procedures	No. APE cases	Incidence (%)	ICA	P
2002	2756	1	0.03628	Vancomycin (Group A; incidence rate: 0.0181%)	.223
2003	3590	2	0.05571		
2004	4034	1	0.02479		
2005	4626	0	0.00000		
2006	5496	1	0.01820		
2007	7203	0	0.00000	Cefuroxime (Group B; incidence rate: 0.0076%)	
2008	8242	5	0.06066		
2009	8744	2	0.02287		
2010	10,744	1	0.00931		
2011	13,125	3	0.02286		
2012	14,640	3	0.02049		
2013	15,373	1	0.00650		
2014	17,181	0	0.00000		
2015	17,396	1	0.00575		
2016	17,399	1	0.00575		
2017	19,626	1	0.00510		
2018	21,781	1	0.00459		
2019	23,583	1	0.00424		
2020	22,914	1	0.00436		
2021	35,828	1	0.00279		
2022	44,683	2	0.00447		
2023	50,512	2	0.00395		
Total	369,476	31	0.00811		

APE: acute postoperative endophthalmitis; ICA: intracameral antibiotic.

The incidence of APE in group A was 0.0181% (5:27,705) (0.0076% [26:341,771] in group B). The probability of developing APE in group A was 2.37 times higher vs that of group B (OR, 2.37; 95%CI, 0.71–6.2). However, this difference was not statistically significant (Fisher's exact test; $P = .079$).

cedures (incidence: 0.0084%). The incidence of APE in group A was 0.0181% (5:27,705) ([26:341,771] in group B). Therefore, the likelihood of developing endophthalmitis was 2.37 times higher in group A vs group B (OR, 2.37, 95%CI, 0.71–6.2). However, this difference was not statistically significant (Fisher's exact test; $P = .079$) (Table 1). Twenty-six out of the 31 cases involved cataract surgery and 5, refractive lensectomies. All patients received an acrylic IOL implant (Table 2).

The mean age of the overall group (202,572 patients) was 60.6 ± 7 years. However, the mean age of patients with APE was 67.3 ± 10 years. Regarding sex distribution, in the APE group, 17 cases (55%) were women, and 14 were men (45%) (Table 1).

Regarding laterality, the incidence of APE was higher in the left eye (17 cases) vs the right one (14 cases), with no bilateral cases. Topical anesthesia was used in 97.2% of procedures, peribulbar anesthesia in 2.4%, and sub-Tenon anesthesia in 0.4%. No surgical procedures were performed under general anesthesia. All APE cases occurred in patients who received topical anesthesia (Table 1).

The main incision was clear corneal in 94.83% of patients, limbal in 2.34%, and scleral in 2.82%. The mean incision size was 2.96 ± 0.2 mm. In the APE group, 28 procedures were performed through clear corneal incisions (2.2 mm up to 4 mm), 2 through limbal incisions, and 1 through a scleral incision. No statistically significant differences were found regarding the type of incision ($P = .834$). Wound leakage was not described in any APE cases. The incidence of diabetes was 5.01% in the overall group (15.38% in the APE group [$P = .048$]). PCR occurred in 0.6% of all procedures but in 16% of cases APE developed (Table 1).

Positive cultures were obtained in 14 cases: *Corynebacterium* and *Streptococcus* spp., and *Enterococcus* in group A;

and *Staphylococcus wanneri*, *Bacillus* spp., *Enterococcus faecalis*, 4 *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, 2 *Propionibacterium acnes*, 1 *Stachybotrys chartrum*, 1 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and 2 *Candida parapsilosis* in group B (Table 3). Treatments included vitrectomy and intravitreal antibiotic injection, vitreous tap with intravitreal antibiotics, and fortified topical antibiotics. The mean symptom onset was 9 days, and resolution occurred after a mean of 121 days. Sixteen eyes achieved a CDVA $\geq 20/40$. Anatomical sequelae occurred in 13 cases, including retinal ischemia (1 case; group A), phthisis bulbi (1 case), corneal edema (1 case), epiretinal membrane (8 cases), and acute glaucoma (2 cases) (Table 3).

We conducted a multivariate analysis for 4 factors associated with APE (age, incision size, diabetes, and PCR). A logistic regression model adjusted for all variables significantly associated with APE in the bivariate analysis revealed that only PCR retained statistical significance, indicating that eyes with PCR are at higher risk for APE vs eyes without PCR.

Discussion

In our study, a low incidence of APE was found (0.008%). A systematic review of publications in the literature from 1964 through 2003, including 3,140,650 cataract extractions, estimated a, APE rate of 0.128%.¹³ In other studies, the incidence ranges from 0.029% to 0.048% in Sweden,^{14,15} 0.026% in China,^{16,17} 0.15% in Quebec, Canada,¹⁸ and 0.28% in a public hospital in Madrid, Spain.¹⁹ In an analysis of more than 5 million patients in the Intelligent Research in Sight (IRIS®) registry, Pershing et al.² reported an incidence of APE of 0.04% after cataract surgery in the United States, which is similar

Table 3 – Clinical data of postoperative cases of APE.

Sex	Age (yrs)	Surgery	Eye	Anesthesia	Year	Incision			ICA	Beginnig (days)	Risk factor	Culture	Initial treatment	Time to resolution (days)	Final CDVA	Sequelae
						Location	Size	Suture								
M	76	Cat	OD	T	2002	CC	4	No	V	7	PCR	v Cb	V + A	18	0.05	RI
F	76	Cat	OI	T	2003	CC	3.2	No	V	3	None	a Sc-Ec	A	60	Amaurosis	Phthisis bulbi
F	71	Cat	OD	T	2003	CC	3.5	No	V	21	PCR	v –	V + A	180	HM	Gla
F	70	Cat	OD	T	2004	CC	3.5	No	V	6	None	v –	V + A	52	0.6	ERM
F	67	Cat	OD	T	2006	CC	3.5	No	V	9	None	v –	V + A	30	0.16	CE
M	66	Cat	OI	T	2008	CC	4	No	C	7	None	v –	V + A	50	0.05	ERM
M	67	Cat	OD	T	2008	CC	12	No	C	12	None	a Sw + B	A	210	0.1	Aphakia
M	70	Cat	OD	T	2008	S	3.2	No	C	2	None	a Ecf	A	11	0.6	
F	64	Cat	OD	T	2008	CC	3	No	C	7	None	v –	A	90	1.0	
F	75	Cat	OD	T	2008	CC	3.2	No	C	15	None	v Sce	A	41	0.7	
M	56	RL	OD	T	2009	CC	3.2	No	C	5	PCR	v –	A	116	0.45	
M	65	Cat	OD	T	2009	CC	4.1	Yes	C	8	None	v –	V + A	58	0.2	ERM
F	50	Cat	OD	T	2010	CC	3.5	No	C	1	None	v –	V + A	117	0.8	
F	74	Cat	OI	T	2011	CC	3.2	No	C	20	None	a Pa	A	27	1.0	
M	74	Cat	OI	T	2011	CC	2.7	No	C	8	None	v –	V + A	33	0.35	
F	73	Cat	OD	T	2011	CC	4	No	C	10	None	v –	V + A	330	0.2	
F	69	Cat	OD	T	2012	CC	4	No	C	4	None	a Pa	A	140	0.94	
F	67	Cat	OI	T	2012	CC	3	No	C	11	PCR	v Se	V + A	260	0.62	ERM
M	49	Cat	OI	T	2012	L	3,2	No	C	8	None	a –	V + A	138	0.9	VHF
M	54	Cat	OD	T	2013	CC	2,8	No	C	4	None	v Sch	A	540	0.4	
M	55	RL	OI	T	2015	CC	3,2	No	C	5	None	v –	V + A	120	0.5	ERM
F	54	RL	OI	T	2016	CC	3,5	No	C	3	PCR	v Se	A	47	0.6	ERM
F	55	RL	OI	T	2017	CC	2,8	No	C	1	None	v –	V + A	115	0.96	
F	52	Cat	OI	T	2018	CC	2,4	No	C	4	None	v –	V + A	394	0.7	
M	82	Cat	OI	T	2019	CC	3,2	Yes	C	11	None	v –	A	15	0.1	VO
F	83	Cat	OI	T	2020	CC	3,2	No	C	1	None	v –	V + A	10	0.3	Gla
M	81	Cat	OI	T	2021	CC	2,4	No	C	32	None	v Cp	V + A	120	0.7	ERM
F	81	Cat	OI	T	2022	CC	2,8	No	C	29	None	v Cp	V + A	260	0.15	
M	79	Cat	OI	T	2022	CC	2,8	No	C	15	None	v Se	V + A	80	0.9	ERM
F	56	RL	OI	T	2023	L	2,8	No	C	14	None	v Psa	V + A	40	0.16	
M	76	Cat		T	2023	CC	2,8	No	C	3	None	a –	V + A	180	0.65	

M: male; F: female; surgery: type of procedure; Cat: cataract; RL: refractive lensectomy; T: topical; CC: clear cornea; L: limbal; ICA: intracameral antibiotic; V: vancomycin; C: cefuroxime; PCR: posterior capsular rupture; v: vitreous; a: aqueous; Cb: *Corynebacterium*; Sc + Ec: *Streptococcus* spp. and *Enterococcus*; Sw + B: *Staphylococcus warneri* + *Bacillus* spp.; Ecf: *Enterococcus faecalis*; Se: *Staphylococcus epidermidis*; Pa: *Propionibacterium acnes*; Sch: *Stachybotrys chartarum*; Cp: *Candida parapsilosis*; Psa: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; V: pars plana posterior vitrectomy; A: intravitreal antibiotic; Final CDVA: final corrected distance visual acuity (Snellen decimal); HM: hand motion vision; RI: retinal ischemia; Gla: glaucoma; ERM: epiretinal membrane; ce: corneal edema; VHF: vitreal hyperreflective foci; VO: vitreous opacity.

to the rates reported in other large studies worldwide.^{14,20–22} A study including 315,246 phacoemulsification procedures performed in 38 surgical centers in California over 8 years reported an incidence of APE of 0.068% in the overall group (0.044% with intracameral prophylaxis using cefuroxime and 0.070% in the topical-only group).²³

Moreover, there is a significant association between extracapsular or intracapsular cataract extraction and APE vs phacoemulsification,^{22–26} which could explain the low incidence observed in our study. Additionally, our APE-affected patients are younger (67.3 ± 10 years) vs those in other series, and most underwent surgery under topical anesthesia.^{2,20}

Topical PVI has been shown to reduce APE incidence without adverse reactions.⁷ In a review of APE prophylaxis, it was the only measure to receive a category B recommendation (moderately important for clinical outcomes).^{27,28} All other reviewed measures were categorized as C (possibly relevant but not definitively related to clinical outcomes).⁸ In our series, topical PVI was used according to the standard preoperative protocol.

The role of antibiotics in APE prophylaxis remains controversial.²⁹ However, intracameral antibiotics are known to reduce the incidence of endophthalmitis after cataract surgery. Cefuroxime, vancomycin, and moxifloxacin are the most widely used intracameral antibiotics, along with cefazolin.³⁰ In Europe, cefuroxime is commonly employed, whereas moxifloxacin is preferred in countries such as the United States.³¹

Intracameral cefuroxime can be significantly more effective than traditional topical or subconjunctival approaches.³² Vancomycin, a broad-spectrum antibiotic, covers nearly all species of staphylococci and streptococci associated with cataract-surgery-related APE.³³ Witkin et al. reported a series of cases of hemorrhagic occlusive retinal vasculitis (HORV) associated with the use of intracameral vancomycin within 2 weeks of uncomplicated cataract surgery.³⁴ No HORV cases were described in our series. The APE incidence was 0.018% with intracameral vancomycin prophylaxis and 0.0076% with cefuroxime, with no statistically significant differences across the 2 groups. We started using intracameral cefuroxime in 2008 due to evidence of its effectiveness in APE prophylaxis and reported toxic effects of vancomycin. Intracameral antibiotics are routinely used in our protocols, with cefuroxime being the standard of care. Occasionally, moxifloxacin is used in penicillin-allergic patients; however, these cases were excluded from the study due to their low frequency and limited statistical weight.

We reviewed some risk factors mentioned in the literature and their concordance with APE cases in our series.^{35,36} Various studies mention an increased risk with advanced age, possibly due to reduced natural immunity in this age group.³⁷ The mean age of our patients in the general group was 60 years (67 years for those diagnosed with APE), which may explain the lower general infection incidence. However, no statistically significant differences were found when adjusted for other risk factors.

Several studies have reported that men are more prone to experiencing APE.^{38–40} In our series, 55% of APE cases occurred in women, with no statistically significant differences between sexes.

Surgical incision is cited as a risk factor for APE development.⁴¹ Some studies have demonstrated that clear corneal incision is a significant APE risk factor.^{41–43} However, other authors argue that the location of the incision (corneal or scleral) is less important than its structure, stability, and self-sealing ability.^{44–48} In our series, most incisions were clear corneal (94.83%); 27 out of the 31 APE cases occurred through corneal incisions ($P = .834$). Wound leakage was observed in only 0.038% of procedures in the entire group, with no cases of Seidel positive wounds among APE cases.

In their meta-analysis, Cao et al. confirmed the association between silicone IOLs and an increased APE risk.³⁵ The ESCRS series also observed a higher IOL-related APE incidence.⁴⁵ Experimental studies and some clinical data corroborate increased bacterial adherence to silicone lenses vs polymethyl methacrylate and acrylic lenses.^{49,50} In our series, all IOLs were acrylic.

Our surgical procedures were outpatient, performed by experienced surgeons using similar protocols, instruments, and devices. Our PCR incidence was 0.66%, which is similar to the rates in surgical procedures performed by experienced surgeons.⁴⁵ PCR is a significant APE risk factor, as demonstrated by in vitro and animal models.⁵¹ The overall PCR incidence reported in the literature ranges from 0.2% up to 14%, though recent studies report lower rates (0.45%–5.2%, even 0.45%–3.6%) when performed by expert surgeons.^{52,53} An acceptable incidence rate is <2%.⁵⁴ Cao et al. estimate that PCR increases the APE risk sixfold,³⁵ potentially up to 14–17 times.^{55–57} In our series, 16% of APE cases had PCR, which increased the APE risk 25-fold compared to cases without PCR after adjusting for other risk factors. We agree with Sun et al.⁵⁸ that intraoperative PCR was the primary APE risk factor.

Diabetes has been mentioned as a APE risk factor by several authors, though this remains controversial to this date.^{17,39,59–61} In our series, 5% of eyes belonged to diabetic patients, with 12% of APE cases involving these eyes ($P = .048$). Diabetes was not significant after adjusting for other risk factors.

Former studies reported gram-positive bacteria as the most common organisms isolated in APE cases. Recent studies showed that *Staphylococcus* was the most prevalent bacterium in positive vitreous cultures, followed by other gram-positive organisms (*Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus* spp.) and other gram-negative bacteria.⁴⁹ Cefuroxime and vancomycin are generally effective vs the broad spectrum of bacteria causing APE.^{29,33,62} In our study, cultures were positive in 14 cases, with *Staphylococcus* isolated in 5.

The low APE incidence in our series, similar to recent studies, supports the safety of lens surgery and, for various reasons, immediate sequential bilateral surgery.^{63,64}

Regarding APE treatment, it should ideally begin immediately, with antibiotics tailored to the specific sensitivity of the implicated organism. However, identifying the causative organism is not always possible. A negative culture does not necessarily indicate the absence of an infecting organism, and new methods should be adopted to identify the causative agent. Broad-spectrum antibiotics are recommended until culture results are available.^{65–68}

Our study has limitations due to its retrospective nature and potential variations in practice among participant

surgeons. However, we hope our findings contribute to establishing concise criteria for APE prophylaxis after phacoemulsification.

In conclusion, phacoemulsification-related APE can have devastating effects on final visual outcomes. The APE incidence after phacoemulsification with intracameral antibiotic administration in our private ophthalmological surgical center was comparable to that reported in previous international studies. Proper ocular antisepsis and disinfection—especially with PVI—before surgery, along with a well-defined intraoperative protocol and intracameral antibiotic use, are the most effective prophylactic measures. Despite the lack of differences between prophylactic cefuroxime or vancomycin use, the risk of HORV associated with prophylactic intracameral vancomycin led to its discontinuation. Additionally, no significant adverse effects were found with cefuroxime. Early APE diagnosis and treatment can promote anatomical and visual recovery. Age, incision size, diabetes, and PCR significantly correlated with APE incidence, though only PCR retained statistical significance.

Funding

None declared.

Declaration of competing interest

None declared.

REFERENCES

1. Aaberg TM Jr, Flynn HW Jr, Schiffman J, Newton J. Nosocomial acute-onset postoperative endophthalmitis survey. A 10-year review of incidence and outcomes. *Ophthalmology*. 1998;105:1004–10.
2. Pershing S, Lum F, Hsu S, Kelly S, Chiang MF, Rich WL III, et al. Endophthalmitis after cataract surgery in the United States. *Ophthalmology*. 2020;127:151–8.
3. Olson RJ, Braga-Mele R, Chen SH, Miller KM, Pineda R II, Tweeten JP, et al. Cataract in the adult eye Preferred Practice Pattern. *Ophthalmology*. 2016;124:1–119.
4. Bowen RC, Zhou AX, Bondalapati S, Lawyer TW, Snow KB, Evans PR, et al. Comparative analysis of the safety and efficacy of intracameral cefuroxime, moxifloxacin and vancomycin at the end of cataract surgery: a meta-analysis. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2018;102:1268–76.
5. Foster A. Cataract and “Vision 2020-the right to sight” initiative. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2001;85:635–7.
6. Lalwani GA, Flynn HW Jr, Scott IU, Quinn CM, Verrocal AM, Davis JL, et al. Acute-onset endophthalmitis after clear corneal cataract surgery (1996–2005). Clinical features, causative organisms, and visual acuity outcomes. *Ophthalmology*. 2008;115:473–6.
7. Speaker MG, Menikoff JA. Prophylaxis of endophthalmitis with topical povidoneiodine. *Ophthalmology*. 1991;98:1769–75.
8. Grzybowski A, Kanclerz P, Myers WG. The use of povidone-iodine in ophthalmology. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol*. 2018;29:19–32.
9. Barry P, Gardner S, Seal D, Gettinby G, Lees F, Peterson M, et al. Clinical observations associated with proven and unproven cases in the ESCRS study of prophylaxis of postoperative endophthalmitis after cataract surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2009;35:1523–31.
10. Anijeet DR, Palimar P, Peckar CO. Intracameral vancomycin following cataract surgery: an eleven-year study. *Clin Ophthalmol*. 2010;4:321–6.
11. Endofthalmitis infecciosa. Guías de Práctica Clínica de la SERV. Available from Sociedad Española de Retina y Vítreo: https://serv.es/wp-content/descargasWP/documentacion-Medica/Guia_SERV_07_primerarevision.pdf.
12. Barry P, Cordovés L, Gardner S. ESCRS guidelines for prevention and treatment of endophthalmitis following cataract surgery: data, dilemmas and conclusions. In: 37th Congress of the European Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgeons. 2013. <https://www.es CRS.org/media/uljgvpn1/english.2018.updated.pdf>
13. Taban M, Behrens A, Newcomb RL, Nobe MY, Saedi G, Sweet PM, et al. Acute endophthalmitis following cataract surgery: a systematic review of the literature. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2005;123:613–20.
14. Friling E, Lundstrom M, Stenevi U, Montan P. Six-year incidence of endophthalmitis after cataract surgery: Swedish national study. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2013;39:15–21.
15. Lundstrom M, Wejde G, Stenevi U, Thorburn W, Montan P. Endophthalmitis after cataract surgery: a nationwide prospective study evaluating incidence in relation to incision type and location. *Ophthalmology*. 2007;114:866–70.
16. Sheng Y, Sun W, Gu Y, Lou J, Liu W. Endophthalmitis after cataract surgery in China, 1995–2009. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2011;37:1715–22.
17. Jiang X, Wan Y, Yuan H, Zhao L, Sun M, Xu Y, et al. Incidence, prophylaxis and prognosis of acute postoperative endophthalmitis after cataract surgery: a multicenter retrospective analysis in Northern China from 2013 to 2019. *Infect Drug Resist*. 2022;15:4047–58.
18. Freeman EE, Roy-Gagnon MH, Fortin E, Gauthier D, Popescu M, Boisjoly H. Rate of endophthalmitis after cataract surgery in Quebec, Canada, 1996–2005. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2010;128:230–4.
19. García-Sáenz MC, Arias-Puente A, Rodríguez-Caravaca G, Andrés-Alba Y, Bañuelos JB. Endophthalmitis after cataract surgery: epidemiology, clinical features and antibiotic prophylaxis. *Arch Soc Esp Oftalmol*. 2010;85:263–7.
20. Miller JJ, Scott IU, Flynn HW Jr, Smiddy WE, Newton J, Miller D. Acute-onset endophthalmitis after cataract surgery (2000–2004): incidence, clinical settings, and visual acuity outcomes after treatment. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2005;139:983–7.
21. Ravindran RD, Venkatesh R, Chang DF, Sengupta S, Gyatsho J, Talwar B. Incidence of post-cataract endophthalmitis at Aravind Eye Hospital: outcomes of more than 42,000 consecutive cases using standardized sterilization and prophylaxis protocols. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2009;35:629–36.
22. Creuzot-Garcher C, Benzenine E, Mariet A-S, de Lazzer A, Chiquet C, Bron AM, et al. Incidence of acute postoperative endophthalmitis after cataract surgery a nationwide study in France from 2005 to 2014. *Ophthalmology*. 2016;123:1414–20.
23. Herrinton LJ, Shorrstein NH, Paschal JF, Liu L, Contreras R, Winthrop KL, et al. Comparative effectiveness of antibiotic prophylaxis in cataract surgery. *Ophthalmology*. 2016;123:287–94.
24. Swaddiwudhipong W, Linlawan P, Prasantong R, Kitphati R, Wongwatcharapaiboon P. A report of an outbreak of postoperative endophthalmitis. *J Med Assoc Thai*. 2000;83:902–7.
25. Wejde G, Samolov B, Seregard S, Koranyi G, Montan PG. Risk factors for endophthalmitis following cataract surgery: a retrospective case-control study. *J Hosp Infect*. 2005;61:251–6.
26. Lalitha P, Rajagopalan J, Prakash K, Ramasamy K, Prajna NV, Srinivasan M. Postcataract endophthalmitis in South India

- incidence and outcome. *Ophthalmology*. 2005;112:1884–9.
27. Carrim ZI, Mackie G, Gallacher G, Wykes WN. The efficacy of 5% povidone-iodine for 3 minutes prior to cataract surgery. *Eur J Ophthalmol*. 2009;19:560–4.
 28. Ferguson AW, Scott JA, McGavigan J, Elton RA, McLean J, Schmidt U, et al. Comparison of 5% povidone-iodine solution against 1% povidone-iodine solution in preoperative cataract surgery antisepsis: a prospective randomized double blind study. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2003;87:163–7.
 29. García-Sáenz MC, Arias-Puente A, Rodríguez-Caravaca G, Bañuelos JB. Effectiveness of intracameral cefuroxime in preventing endophthalmitis after cataract surgery: ten-year comparative study. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2010;36:203–7.
 30. Kato A, Horita N, Namkoong H, Nomura E, Masuhara N, Kaneko T, et al. Antibióticos profilácticos para la endoftalmitis posoperatoria de cataratas: una revisión sistemática y un metanálisis en red de 6,8 millones de ojos. *Sci Rep*. 2022;12:17416.
 31. Arriola Villalobos P, Díaz Valle D. Papel del moxifloxacin en la profilaxis de la endoftalmitis posquirúrgica. *Arch Soc Esp Oftalmol*. 2010;85:323–4.
 32. Yu-Wai-Man P, Morgan SJ, Hildreth AJ, Steel DH, Allen D. Efficacy of intracameral and subconjunctival cefuroxime in preventing endophthalmitis after cataract surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2008;34:447–51.
 33. Au CP, White AJ, Healey PR. Efficacy and cost-effectiveness of intracameral vancomycin in reducing postoperative endophthalmitis incidence in Australia. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2016;44:803–11.
 34. Witkin AJ, Shah AR, Engstrom RE, Kron-Gray MM, Bauman CR, Johnson MW, et al. Postoperative hemorrhagic occlusive retinal vasculitis: expanding the clinical spectrum and possible association with vancomycin. *Ophthalmology*. 2015;122:1438–51.
 35. Cao H, Zhang L, Li L, Lo S. Risk factors for acute endophthalmitis following cataract surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e71731.
 36. Packer M, Chang DF, Dewey SH, Little BCMD, Mamalis N, Oetting TA, et al. Prevention, diagnosis, and management of acute postoperative bacterial endophthalmitis. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2011;37:1699–710.
 37. Rubio EF. Influence of age on conjunctival bacteria of patients undergoing cataract surgery. *Eye*. 2006;20:447–54.
 38. Miño De Kaspar H, Ta CN, Froehlich SJ, Schaller UC, Engelbert M, Klauss V, et al. Prospective study of risk factors for conjunctival bacterial contamination in patients undergoing intraocular surgery. *Eur J Ophthalmol*. 2009;19:717–22.
 39. Bekibele CO, Kehinde AO, Ajayi BG. Upper lid skin bacterial count of surgical eye patients in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Afr J Med Med Sci*. 2008;37:273–7.
 40. Tordoff JM, Bagge ML, Gray AR, Campbell AJ, Norris PT. Medicine taking practices in community dwelling people aged >75 years in New Zealand. *Age Ageing*. 2010;39:574–80.
 41. Montan PG, Koranyi G, Setterquist HE, Stridh A, Philipson BT, Wiklund K. Endophthalmitis after cataract surgery: risk factors relative to technique and events of the operation and patient history: a retrospective case-control study. *Ophthalmology*. 1998;105:2171–7.
 42. Wong TY, Chee SP. The epidemiology of acute endophthalmitis after cataract surgery in an Asian population. *Ophthalmology*. 2004;111:699–705.
 43. Nagaki Y, Hayasaka S, Kadoi C, Matsumoto M, Yanagisawa S, Watanabe K, et al. Bacterial endophthalmitis after small-incision cataract surgery. Effect of incision placement and intraocular lens type. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2003;29:20–6.
 44. Pleyer U, Geldsetzer K. Will intracameral cefuroxime become the new standard in endophthalmitis prevention? *Klin Monbl Augenheilkd*. 2008;225:934–40.
 45. Endophthalmitis Study Group. European Society of Cataract & Refractive Surgeons. Prophylaxis of postoperative endophthalmitis following cataract surgery: results of the ESCRS multicenter study and identification of risk factors. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2007;33:978–88.
 46. Cooper BA, Holekamp NM, Bohigian G, Thompson PA. Case-control study of endophthalmitis after cataract surgery comparing scleral tunnel and clear corneal wounds. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2003;136:300–5.
 47. Colleaux KM, Hamilton WK. Effect of prophylactic antibiotics and incision type on the incidence of endophthalmitis after cataract surgery. *Can J Ophthalmol*. 2000;35:373–8.
 48. Lundström M. Endophthalmitis and incision construction. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol*. 2006;17:68–71.
 49. García-Sáenz MC, Arias-Puente A, Fresnadillo-Martínez MJ, Matilla-Rodríguez A. In vitro adhesion of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* to intraocular lenses. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2000;26:1673–9.
 50. Kodjikian L, Burillon C, Chanloy C, Bostvironnois V, Pellon G, Mari E, et al. In vivo study of bacterial adhesion to five types of intraocular lenses. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2002;43:3717–21.
 51. Beyer TL, O'Donnell FE, Gonçalves V, Singh R. Role of the posterior capsule in the prevention of postoperative bacterial endophthalmitis: experimental primate studies and clinical implications. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 1985;69:841–6.
 52. Turnbull AM, Lash SC. Confidence of ophthalmology specialist trainees in the management of posterior capsule rupture and vitreous loss. *Eye*. 2016;30:943–8.
 53. Shalchi Z, Okada M, Whiting C, Hamilton R. Risk of posterior capsule rupture during cataract surgery in eyes with previous intravitreal injections. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2017;177:77–80.
 54. Pijl BJ, Theelen T, Tilanus MAD, Rentenaar R, Crama N. Acute endophthalmitis after cataract surgery: 250 consecutive cases treated at a tertiary referral center in the Netherlands. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2010;149:482–7.
 55. Day AC, Donachie PH, Sparrow JM, Johnston RL. Royal College of Ophthalmologists' National Ophthalmology Database. The Royal College of Ophthalmologists' National Ophthalmology Database study of cataract surgery: report 1, visual outcomes and complications. *Eye*. 2015;29:552–60.
 56. Menikoff JA, Speaker MG, Marmor M, Raskin EM. A case control study of risk factors for post-operative endophthalmitis. *Ophthalmology*. 1991;98:1761–8.
 57. Wallin T, Parker J, Jin Y, Kefalopoulos G, Olson RJ. Cohort study of 27 cases of endophthalmitis at a single institution. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2005;31:735–41.
 58. Sun J, Guo Z, Li H, Yang B, Wu X. Acute infectious endophthalmitis after cataract surgery: epidemiological characteristics, risk factors and incidence trends, 2008–2019. *Infect Drug Resist*. 2021;14:1231–8.
 59. Silpa-archa S, Papirachnart A, Singhanet P, Preble JM. Risk factors for endophthalmitis after cataract surgery in diabetic patients: a case control study. *Int J Ophthalmol*. 2019;12:417–23.
 60. Doft BH, Wisniewski SR, Kelsey SF, Fitzgerald SG, Endophthalmitis Vitrectomy Study Group. Diabetes and postoperative endophthalmitis in the endophthalmitis vitrectomy study. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2001;119:650–6.
 61. Phillips WB 2nd, Tasman WS. Postoperative endophthalmitis in association with diabetes mellitus. *Ophthalmology*. 1994;101:508–18.
 62. Al-Abri M, Al-Hinai A, Al-Abri A, Lobo RM. Acute postoperative infectious endophthalmitis caused by

- Gram-negative organisms. *Oman J Ophthalmol*. 2022;15:204–7.
63. Friling E, Johansson B, Lundström M, Montan P. Postoperative endophthalmitis in immediate sequential bilateral cataract surgery: a nationwide registry study. *Ophthalmology*. 2022;129:26–34, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2021.07.007>.
64. Alio JL, Gessa-Sorroche M, Nowrouzi AM, Maldonado J. Cirugía de catarata bilateral secuencia e inmediata. *Arch Soc Esp Oftalmol*. 2022;97:402–8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.oftale.2022.02.010>.
65. Koc F, Sen E, Demirbay P, Taskintuna I, Teke MY, Ozdal P, et al. Factors influencing treatment results in pseudophakic endophthalmitis. *Eur J Ophthalmol*. 2002;12:34–9.
66. Chiquet C, Bron AM, Lundström M, Maurin M. Acute postoperative endophthalmitis: microbiology from the laboratory to the bedside. *Surv Ophthalmol*. 2022;67:1698–710.
67. de Geus SJR, Hopman J, Brüggemann RJ, Klevering BJ, Crama N. Acute endophthalmitis after cataract surgery: clinical characteristics and the role of intracameral antibiotic prophylaxis. *Ophthalmol Retina*. 2021;5:503–10.
68. Johnson MW, Doft BH, Kelsey SF, Barza M, Wilson LA, Barr CG, et al. The Endophthalmitis Vitrectomy Study; relationship between clinical presentation and microbiologic spectrum. *Ophthalmology*. 1997;104:261–72.